Middle Miocene “Badenian” (Langhian) decapod crustaceans from the Retznei quarry, Styrian Basin, Austria
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Abstract

Thirty-four species (of which two are represented in the present collection by plaster casts) of anomuran and brachyuran decapods have been found in the Middle Miocene Langhian “Badenian” in the Retznei quarry, Styrian Basin, Austria. Of these, twenty-nine are determined, some tentatively, to species level. Six new species are described: *Pisidia* subnodosas sp. nov., *Pagurus retznensis* sp. nov., *Dromia evae* sp. nov., *Portunus muelleri* sp. nov., *Glabropilumnus nitidus* sp. nov. and *Eomaldivia friebei* sp. nov. Previously described species are *Callianassa* sp. aff., “*Callianassa* sismondai” A. Milne-Edwards, “*Callianassa* almerai” Müller, *Galathea weinfueteri* Bachmeyer, *Petrolisthes haydni* Müller, *Pisidia viai* Müller, *Dardanus hungaricus* Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen, ‘*Anapagurus* miocenicus’ Müller, *Calappa praelata* Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen (plaster cast), *Kerepesia viai* Müller, *Kromtitis koberi* (Bachmayer and Tollman), *Charybdis fragilis* (Müller), *Charybdis mathiasi* Müller, *Lobocarcinus* sp. aff. *sismondai* (von Meyer), *Carpilius antiquus* Glaessner, *Daira speciosa* (Reuss), *Hyastenus sp.*, *Liocarcinus* sp. aff. *rakosensis* Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen (plaster cast), *Pilumnus* sp. aff. *mediterraneus* (Lörenthey), *Pilumnus* sp., *Panopeus wroni* Müller, *Chlorodiella juglans* Müller, *Chlorodiella locyi* Müller, *Chlorodiella mediterranea* (Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen), *Chlorodiella tetenyensis* Müller, *Xantho moldavicus* (Yanakevich), *Pilodius vulgaris* (Glaessner), *Hayndella steiningeri* Müller and Majidae gen. et sp. indet.

Relationships with species of similar age and those with extended range affecting other localities are discussed. The specimens were collected from two stratigraphic units which could be correlated with substages in the Hungarian Badenian, but others, including type specimens, are from unknown units.

Introduction

Müller’s first contribution to the Badenian crabs from Hungary in 1974 was followed by his major work, *Decapod Crustacea of the Badenian* (1984b), in which he not only summarized the known Hungarian species, but included those from Poland and Austria. However, despite being known since Hilber (1871), no crabs from Retznei were included in the (1984b) publication. Anxious to redress this omission and continue researches on the Badenian of Central Europe, Müller, together with Dr. J. G. Friebe, Dornbirn, Austria, collected from exposures in the extensive quarry at Retznei, Styrian Basin, Austria. By the late 1990s, preparation and initial identification was well advanced, when a series of unforeseen events forced Müller to postpone the project. Subsequent progressive ill health impeded resumption of the work and the present author was invited to adopt the project. In so doing, relevant parts of the stratigraphic account prepared by Dr. Friebe were made available and, adapted, are included herein.

As far as can be ascertained, the earliest Badenian decapods to be recorded from Retznei were by Hilber (1871); these were *Neptunus granulatus* Milne-Edwards, 1860, and *Daira speciosa* Reuss, 1871. Although the former species was subsequently included in the synonymy of *Neptunus* [*Portunus*] *monsphelnsis* Milne-Edwards, 1860, neither that, nor *D.* *speciosa*, were included in the localities listed by Müller (1984 b, table 1, p. 37). In 1986, Flügel recorded *P. montspeliensis*, and both “*Callianassa* pseudorakosensis” Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen 1929 and “*Callianassa* almerai” Müller, 1993 were added by Hyžný (2011), the former was transferred to *Eucalliax* Manning and Felder, 1991, by Hyžný and Hudáková (2012).

Because of the hard, splintery nature of the rock, much of the material is fragmentary, with small species or juveniles predominating. Also, there was an abundance of pre-fossil breakages. These aspects of collecting were brought home to the author while reducing several kilos of ‘hope-blocks’ brought back and placed in store, with the result adding little to the available specimens.

Müller (1884b, p. 100) regarded the Badenian decapod fauna...
as “modern in its character”, and considered only twelve of its 72 established genera could be considered extinct. One of the genera, *Thalamita*, has since been synonymised with *Charybdis* (Karasawa et al., 2008) of this, original, total, only 25 genera, are presently known from Retznei.

Identification of much of the material in the present study is dependent almost entirely on Müller’s expertise and experience. Of the 34 Lower Badenian decapod species in 26 genera recorded from Retznei herein, six, *Pisidia? subnodos*, *Pugurus retzensis*, *Dromia evae*, *Glabroplumnus nitidus*, *Portunus muelleri* and *Eomaldivia? friebei*, are new. A dactylus associated with ‘Anapagurus’miocenicus is described for the first time. Twenty-two species (see Table 1) are directly comparable with previously described Hungarian taxa and four are tentatively associated. A further three are recorded only to generic level; one of these, *Hyaustenus*, is a fossil/extant genus known elsewhere from the Oligocene of Italy, and Miocene of Japan and Sabah (Karasawa, 1991; Collins et al., 2003; De Angeli and Beschin, 2008).

In correspondence with Friebe, Müller remarked upon the presence of ‘good carapaces’ of *Calappa praelata* and a portunid, both non-reef-inhabiting species, from Retznei, in an acquaintance’s collection. These are undoubtedly represented in the present collection by plaster casts, both clearly marked ‘Retznei’ on the reverse and are described herein from an unknown unit, but are not included in the distribution of coral-inhabiting forms summarised below.

Bachmeyer and Tollman (1953) brought together the most Austrian Badenian crabs previously known from any one locality, which was Gross Hoflein. These totalled eight species, including three tentatively identified. Of these, Müller (1985a) recognised five, omitting the tentative recordings, and an additional seven species. All but one, *Necronectes schaferi* Glaessner, 1928, are present in the Retznei collection. Concerning the palaeogeographic distribution of the Langhian decapod fauna of Olédola (Catalonia), Müller (1993, p. 5) cited that out of 22 determined species, nine (*rectae* ten) were “certainly reported from the rich Paratethyan fauna”. Representing a comparatively high proportion, ten firmly and/or tentatively indentified members of the presently known Retznei taxa are common to the Olédola collection. A small number of Middle Badenian species common to the Austrian fauna has been recorded from the Maksymivka quarry, near Ternopol, Ukraine, by Ossé and Stalllenny (2012) (see Table 1). With so many species recorded from an unknown unit, content comparison ratios among the Retznei assemblage are of no value. The distribution and ecology of Badenian, Messinian and Langhian reef-dwelling crabs of Europe and the Mediterranean, together with their generic affinities to Indo-West Pacific forms has been discussed in detail by Müller (1979b, 1984a, b, 1993, 1996, 1998, 2004, 2006), Saint-Martin and Müller (1988), Müller and Galil (1998), Giorgiades-Dikeoulia and Müller (1984), Moissett and Müller (1990), Hyžný and Hudáčková (2012).

**Retznei quarry: Stratigraphy**

The Retznei quarry of the Lafarge-Perlmooser Zementwerke offers an excellent opportunity to study the internal structure of a Leithakalk build-up. Biogenic sedimentation was controlled by an inherited relief and by relative sea-level. In the build-up and its siliclastic surroundings, seven distinct lithographic units can be distinguished (Friebe, in Pillar et al., 1991).

An erosional event following tectonic uplift and tilting of the Karpatian Steirischer Schlier (= Kreutzkrumpl Formation; Schell, 1994), created a pronounced relief (Friebe, 1991a). The south-dipping slope is covered by gravelly marlstone representing reworked basement. Small channels are characterised by lag deposits of metamorphic pebbles originating from coarse-grained layers within the Kreutzkrumpl Formation. Lag deposits are also found on top of this reworked horizon indicating emersion and erosion at the Karpatian/Badenian boundary.

With the Badenian transgression, Leithakalk sedimentation started (Rögl and Steininger, 1983; Friebe, 1993). The base of the build-up shows a distinct facies zonation (see also Buxton and Pedley, 1989). In the deeper part of the slope the emersion horizon is overlain by a coral patch reef (unit 3). The coarse-grained lag deposits acted as a stable substrate for coral colonisation. In the centre of the shoal, poorly to moderately sorted, bioturbated sand was deposited (unit 2). Stabilisation by seagrasses prevented winnowing of the sediment. Rare rhodoliths show branching growth forms. They indicate a reduction of wave energy by the seagrass (Almasi et al., 1985) show a complex intergrowth of coralline algae and serpulids. Relatively large amounts of crustacean debris. Patch-reefs and seagrass meadows were separated by a rhodolith/coralithic belt. In the rhodoliths columnar, spheroida
to ellipsoidal growth forms prevailed. The coralliths (*sensu* Scoffin et al., 1985) show a complex intergrowth of coralline algae, serpulids and *Porites* sp. Commonly a small rhodolith was encrusted by the coral which was, in turn, overgrown by coralline algae and serpulids. Relatively large amounts of sediment were incorporated into these nodules. Due to their motion by waves and predatory fishes, the preservation potential for decapods was low.

During continuing transgression, the patch reefs spread over the whole shoal (unit 3). *Montastrea oligophylla* (Reuss), *Tubastrea reussiana* (Edwards and Haime) and *Porites* sp. are the main reef builders. Other corals (*e.g.* *Sidastraea* sp., *Flabellum* sp.) are scarce. Coral heads are intensively bored by *Lithophaga* spp. and recrystallised. Siltation was relatively
Plate 1

Fig. 1. “Callianassa” aff. “Callianassa” sismondae A. Milne-Edwards. NHM IC 636. Unit unknown. Left propodus and carpus.
Fig. 2. “Callianassa” almerai Müller. NHM IC 637, Unit 6. Internal cast of right propodus. Figs. 3, 4. Galathea weinfuerteri Backmeyer. 3. NHM IC 638, Unit 3. 4. NHM IC 639. Unit 6. Figs. 5-8. Petrolisthes haydni Müller. 5. NHM IC 640. Unit 6. 6. NHM IC 641. Unit 3. 7. NHM IC 642. Unit unknown. Fragmentary merus. 8. NHM IC 643. Unit 6. Right propodus, Unit 3. Figs. 9, 10. Pisidia viai Müller. NHM IC 644. Unit 3. 10. IC 693. Unit unknown. Fig. 11. Pisidia? subnodosa sp. nov. Holotype, NHM IC 645. Unit unknown. Fig. 12, 13. Dardanus hungaricus Lőrenthey, in Lőrenthey and Beurlen. 12. NHM IC 646. Unit unknown. Left carpus. 13. NHM IC 647. Unit unknown. Fragmentary left propodus. Fig. 14. Anapagurus miocenicus Müller. NHM IC 648. Unit unknown. Left propodus.
strong and coral growth was frequently interrupted by silt layers. Decapod remains are preserved in sheltered caves between the coral heads. The patch reef show no distinct internal zonal facies. However, rhodoliths are present only in the uppermost parts of the reefs. The top of the reefs reflects a (tectonical induced) fall in relative sea level. Its surface is cut by grooves.

Unit 4 consists of an algal debris limestone (grainstone and rudstone; foraminiferal algae and rhodolite debris facies). Above the reef crest a small mound consisting of rhodoliths and small, massive colonies of Porites sp., developed. It shed coarse-grained debris down the slope to the south. To the north a lagoon was filled by fine-grained algal debris rarely exceeding 1 mm in grain-size. In that area macrofossils are scarce. The only decapod found in unit 4 is Daira speciosa (Reuss) which is abundant in the vicinity of Porites colonies and large rhodoliths.

The following development of the build-up is characterised by a distinct facies zonation. In the centre of the shoal, sedimentation was strongly reduced. Corals are rare. Marly layers with columnar rhodoliths and abundant algal debris alternate with crustose pavement and boxwork rhodoliths (compare with Bosence and Pedley, 1982). Towards the top glauconite occurs. Molluses (mainly bivalve moulds) become more abundant. Traces of irregular sea urchins (e.g. Brissopsis sp.) are common. Units 5 and 6 cannot be distinguished in that part of the build-up. At the same time, a wedge of marly Leithakalk developed at the southern flank of the build-up (units 5, 6). Unit 5 shows a distinct fining and deepening upward trend starting with a marly rhodolith pavement. This pavement differs from the pavement facies of Dullo (1983) by its relatively large amounts of marly matrix, whereas, in Dullo’s pavement, cement dominates over primary matrix. Rhodoliths with branching to columnar growth forms reach diameters up to 10 cm. Corals are absent. Other biota include oysters, pectinids, bryozoa and clypeastrid sea urchins. The rhodolith pavement is soon replaced by boxwork rhodoliths and a crustose pavement. Scarse corals occur. The upper part of this unit consists mainly of marly limestone enriched in algal debris (bioclastic algal debris facies). Marly intercalations are enhanced by pressure solution. Some distinct marl beds can be attributed to volcanic ash falls.

Unit 6 can be subdivided into three fining and deepening upward subunits. Unit 6a starts with a well cemented pavement of columnar rhodoliths, followed by alternations of pavement facies and more marly layers. It grades upwards into marly limestone with branching and boxwork rhodoliths (bioclastic rhodolite facies). Again, marlstone layers are enhanced by pressure solution. The top of this subunit consists of marly algal debris limestone, with only occasional rhodoliths.

A distinct bed of bioclastic algal to rhodolith limestone constitutes the base of subunit 6b. Within this bed the rhodoliths show a prominent coarsening upward trend. It is succeeded by a coral carpet dominated by Porites sp. and occasionally ?Mussismilia sp., followed by two beds in pavement facies which are separated by marlstones. This succession is overlain by a coral carpet to small patch reef dominated by Porites sp. and Montastraea oligophylla (Reuss) up to 1 m in diameter. Rhodoliths and marly intercalations are common. The uppermost 5 m consists of a rhodolith pavement with abundant Porites sp. Marly intercalations are concentrated at the top of this subunit.

Subunit 6c again starts with a well-cemented pavement of columnar rhodoliths and oysters. It is succeeded by a small patch reef built up by Porites sp., Montastraea oligophylla and ?Mussismilia sp. in its lower part and, above a distinct marly intercalation, by Porites sp. with only minor contribution from other corals. A rich decapod fauna originates from sheltered caves within the coral framework. The reef is overlain by a coral pavement. The top of this unit was not exposed properly. It probably consists of a more marly rhodolith to algal debris limestone showing a fining upward trend.

The Leithakalk build-up was in part suffocated by fine-grained siliciclastics (unit 7) and drowned during maximum sea-level rise. A drowning event can also be observed in the build ups of the Gamlitz area farther south (Fribee, 1993). As an alternative model chemical pollution of the environment by intensive ash falls may be discussed. A tuff layer (formally interpreted as distal apophyses of an andesitic intrusion; Hauser, 1951) is found only a few cm above the build up. However, the tuff is always separated from the limestone by fine-grained siliciclastics. A rich foraminiferal fauna with Cibicidoides ungarianus (d’Orbigny), Dentalina sp., Gyriddinoidea soldanii (d’Orbigny), Lenticulina sp., Melonis pompilioides (Fifhlel and Moll), Nodosaria sp., Pullenia bulboides (d’Orbigny), Sphaerodina bulboides d’Orbigny, Spiroplectammnia carinata (d’Orbigny), Stilostomella spp., Uvigerina spp., abundant planktic foraminifera and others indicates a water depth of approximately 100 m for most of the shales.

The stratigraphic content of the Retznei succession in relation to other Badenian/Langian exposures in the Styrian Basin was given by Hohenegger et al. (2009).

**Systematic Descriptions**

**Remarks:** All figured specimens are contained in ‘Material’; because of the fragmentary nature of much of the remaining material, all other specimens are listed in ‘Additional material’, either as carapaces or limbs irrespective of condition. All specimens prefixed ‘IC’ are deposited in the Department of Earth Sciences. The Natural History Museum, London.

Order Decapoda Latreille, 1802
Infraorder Axidea de Saint Laurent, 1976
Family Callianassidae Dana, 1852
Subfamily Callianassinae Dana, 1852
Genus Callianassa Leach, 1814

Type species: Cancer (Astacus) subterraneus Montagu, 1808, by original designation.

“Callianassa” sp. aff. “Callianassa” sismondae
A. Milne-Edwards, 1860

(Pl. 1, fig. 1)

1928. Callianassa sismondae A. Milne-Edwards; Glaessner, p. 90. (see also for synonymy).

1984b. ‘Callianassa’ sismondae A. Milne-Edwards; Müller, p. 5.


Material: IC 636, a left cheliped; unit unknown; IC 710, An internal cast of a right propodus; unit unknown.

Remarks: Albeit largely decorticated, the left cheliped is better preserved than that figured by Müller (1998, pl. 1, fig. 1), which is associated with elements of the carapace.

Description: Propodus. A little higher than long, the upper and lower margins are weakly curved, the lower leading straight into the fixed finger, which is longer than the manus; the carpal margin is almost straight and the interdigital margin, excavated to the dactyl articulation, becomes almost straight above. The fixed finger is sharply pointed, upturned and weakly inclined inwards. The occludent margin appears to be finely granulate, with a row of setae pores along the outer margin. Only the internal cast of the dactylus remains; from the down-curved, sharply pointed apex overlapping the fixed finger, a straight cutting edge set at one third the height and length, curves up to a (possibly) weakly curved posterior portion. As preserved, the surface has a median ridge and another bounding the cutting edge, suggesting corresponding grooves on the actual surface.

Carpus. Shorter than the manus, it tapers slightly posteriorly with the lower margin curving boldly towards the indented meral articulation. Traces of the shell on the outer surface are lined with fine undulating ridges. The upper margin of the propodus and carpus is smooth.

Merus. Is as long as the propodus and half its height, with weakly curved upper and lower margins; a subcentral rectangular incision along its length is lined with a row of granules above a thin ridge, with a scattering of fine granules above.

Traces of the 1st pereiopod laying above the manus of IC 636, indicate the dactylus to have been as long as the manus.

Right propodus. Proportions of the manus are much the same as the left manus; the proximal height of the left is shorter and there is a sparse scattering of granules about the middle of the interdigital margin.

Discussion: Müller (1993, p. 6), when comparing “Callianassa” sismondae with “Callianassa” almerai Müller, 1993, from the Langhian of Olédola, Spain, drew attention to tubercles common to both sides of the manus. These are absent (possibly through preservation) on the left specimen (IC 636). The presence of spines characteristic of the genus along the upper margin of the propodus, and lower margins of the carpus and merus, readily distinguishes the superficially similar Glypturus munieri (Brocchi, 1883) (vide Hyžný and Müller, 2012, p. 979, fig. 8). Furthermore, the propodal margin of the carpus is bounded by a groove in G. munieri and the meral margin is not so boldly rounded; also, there is no rectangular incision on the merus.

The nominal form of C. sismondae is known from the Karpatian, Lower Miocene, of Korneuburger, Austria (Müller, 1998, p. 273).

“Callianassa” almerai Müller, 1993

(Pl. 1, fig. 2)

1984a. ‘Callianassa’ desmerestiana Milne-Edwards; Müller, p. 27.
1993. Callianassa almerai Müller; Müller, p. 6, figs. 2C–F.

Material: IC 637a, b, right inner surface of a propodus and counterpart from Unit 6.

Remarks: As preserved, the oblique fracture of the upper distal portion and articulation with the dactylus presents a distorted image of the length/width proportions when compared with published figures in (Müller, 1993; Hyžný, 2011). This could suggest placement with Eucalliax pseudorakosensis (Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen, 1929), but the cluster of granules, as reported by Müller (1993, p. 6), above the base of the fixed finger on the present specimen, is not developed in E. pseudorakosensis. Examples of both species preserved within their burrows have been described by Hyžný (2011) from Retznei and elsewhere. “Callianassa” almerai is also known from the Langhian of Olédola, Spain.

Infraorder Anomura MacLeay, 1838
Superfamily Galatheidea Samouelle, 1819
Family Galatheidae Samouelle, 1819
Genus Galathea Fabricius, 1793

Type species: Cancer strigosa Linnaeus, 1761, by subsequent designation of Latrielle (1810).

Galathea weinfurteri Bachmeyer, 1950

(Pl. 1, figs. 3, 4)

1984b. Galathea weinfurteri Bachmeyer; Müller, p. 60, pl. 21. figs. 4, 5, pl. 22, figs. 1–5 (see also for intermediate synonymy).
2008. Galathea weinfurteri Bachmeyer; De Angeli and Beschin, p. 17, pl. 1, fig. 2.

Material: IC 638, a carapace from Unit 3; IC 639, a carapace from Unit 6.

Additional material: IC 711, carapace from Unit 3, and a fragment from an unknown unit.

Remarks: This fairly common, widespread species, previously
known from Hungary, Deutsch-Altenburg, Niederösterreich, Austria, southern Poland (Müller, 1984b, p. 47; 1996, p. 8) and near Ternopil, Ukraine (Ossó and Stallenmy, 2012), was tentatively recorded from the Lower Oligocene of Vincenza, Italy (De Angeli and Besch, 2008, p. 17). Discussing this species, De Angeli and Garassino (2002, p. 10), drew attention to “the cardiac region not distinctly defined by grooves breaking the cardiac region”—a character shared by the Retznei specimens. Also, there is a definite tendency towards fine, intercalated striae, as seen in Müller (1993, fig. E).

Fragmentary carapaces and a limb fragment from the Langhian of Olédola, Spain, were recorded by Müller (1993, p. 8) as Galathea cf. squamifera Leach, 1814, an extant species, on the similarity of a rostrum to that of extant forms; a rider suggests the possibility of the presence of more than one species. The Retznei carapaces differ primarily in having divided transverse striae.

Family Porcellanidae Haworth, 1825
Genus Petrolisthes Stimpson, 1858

Type species: Porcellana violacea Guérin Méneville in Duperrey, 1831, by monotypy.

**Petrolisthes haydni Müller, 1984b**

(Pl. 1, figs. 5, 6, 7, 8)

1984b. Petrolisthes haydni Müller, p. 61, pl. 26, figs. 1–5.

**Material:** IC 640, a fragmentary carapace from Unit 6; IC 641, a carapace fragment, from Unit 3; IC 642, a fragmentary attributed merus; and IC 643, an attributed right propodus. Both from an unknown unit.

**Additional material:** Two specimens from Unit 3, IC 712, 693; four from Unit 6, IC 714, 715 and five from an unknown unit IC 716–720.

**Remarks:** As preserved all specimens compare favourably with the type series from the Badenian of Gross-Höllein, Austria. One carapace, IC 640, is almost three times larger than the type, thereby almost equalling the size of the contemporary Petrolithes magnus Müller, 1984b from Rákos, Budapest.

Genus Pisidia Leach, 1820

Type species: Cancer longicornis Linneaus, 1767.

**Pisidia? subnodosa sp. nov.**

(Pl. 1, fig. 11)

**Diagnosis:** Carapace subquadrate, short anterolateral margins; deep cervical furrow narrowly curved across midline, hepatic furrow short; branchiocardiac furrows deep laterally, bounded by ridge, become almost obsolete; epibranchial lobe indented by deep striae, dorsal surface granulate.

**Material:** Holotype IC 645, a fragmentary internal cast of a carapace from an unknown unit.

**Description:** The carapace is subquadrate posterior to the missing front, and flattened in both transverse and longitudinal sections. Short anterolateral margins are convex to a deep cervical notch. The straight posterolateral margins converge slightly to broadly rounded posterior angles. A ridge bounds the weakly concave posterior margin. A deep cervical furrow curves narrowly across the midline, unites with a short hepatic furrow, then turns abruptly out to the margin. Small, triangular hepatic lobes are depressed. The branchiocardiac furrows are deep laterally and bounded by a ridge running round weakly tumid epibranchial lobes, then, becoming almost obsolete, run straight towards the cardiac region. A smooth area outlines a broad, elliptic cardiac region. Scattered granules almost obscure weak transverse striae. Three epibranchial striae curve deeply round the lateral margin presenting a semi-nodose appearance.

**Discussion:** Although superficially similar to Pisidia kokayi Müller, 1974a, and Pisidia viai, both found in Badenian, Langhian and Messinian deposits, *P. subnodosa* differs from both in that the cervical furrow runs in a more acute angle towards the front, in having apparent nodes on the epibranchial margin and an overall more densely granulate surface ornament. *Pisidia? subnodosa* differs further from *P. viae* in having posteriorly directed branchiocardiac furrows, similar to *P. kokayi*. Further differences must await better preserved specimens of *P. subnodosa*.

**Pisidia viai Müller 1984a**

(Pl. 1, figs. 9, 10)

1984a. Pisidia viai Müller p. 28, pl. 1, figs. 4, 6.

1984b. As Pisidia kokayi Müller; Müller, p. 61, pl. 27, fig. 6, pl. 28, figs. 1–3.

1993. Pisidia viai Müller; Müller, p. 8, figs. 4A–C.

**Material:** IC 644, a carapace; from Unit 3; IC 693, a carapace, unit unknown.

**Additional material:** IC 721, a carapace from Unit 3 and three carapaces from an unknown unit IC 721–723.

**Remarks:** Minute granules on the hepatic and epibranchial lobes readily distinguish *P. viai* from Pisidia kokayi Müller, 1974a, from the Hungarian Badenian. When recording the species from the Middle Miocene (Langhian) of Olédola, Müller (1993, p. 8) revised the identification of specimens from Törökmező, Hungary, and transferred specimens placed with *Pisidia kokayi*; he noted that, “this widespread species was described from the Messinian [of Greece (Müller, 1974, 1984a)], but is frequent in the Paratethyan as well.” Thus, the presence of the species from Austria was to be expected. The Retznei specimens reach a slightly larger size (width. c. 4.0 mm) than those from Olédola (width. c. 3.3 mm).

Superfamily Paguroidea Latreille, 1802
Family Diogenidae Ortmann, 1892
Genus Dardanus Paul’son, 1875
Type species: Dardanus hellerii Paul’son, 1875, by original designation, on official list, ICZN.

‘Dardanus’ hungaricus Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beuren, 1929
(Pl. 1, figs. 12, 13)
1929. Dardanus’ hungaricus Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beuren, p. 34, 72, pl. 3, fig. 4.
1984b. ‘Dardanus’ hungaricus Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beuren; Müller, p. 58, pl. 18, figs. 1–5.
1993. ‘Dardanus’ hungaricus Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beuren; Müller, p. 7, figs. 3F, G.
Material: IC 646, IC 647, a fragmentary left propodus and a carpus. Both from an unknown unit. Addition material, IC 724, a carpus, unit unknown.
Remarks: Not previously recorded from Austria. The absence of denticles lining the distal edges of the ridges on the propodus immediately distinguishes this species from Dardanus substriatiformis Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beuren, 1929, and the specimens, albeit poorly preserved, are fully identical with those figured by Müller (1984b, pl. 28, figs. 1–5; 1993, fig. 3, F, G). The species was tentatively recorded from the Langhian of Olédola, Spain.

Genus Paguridae Latreille, 1802
Type species: Pagurus laevis Bell, 1845.

‘Anapagurus’ miocenicus Müller, 1979
(Pl. 1, fig. 14; Pl. 2, figs. 1, 2)
1984b. ‘Anapagurus’ miocenicus Müller; Müller p. 55, pl.11, figs. 4–9.
Material: IC 648, a left propodus; IC 649a, b, a right propodus and internal mould preserving associated dactylus. Both from an unknown unit.
Remarks: The propodus agrees in all respects with the type material. The dactylus, preserved as a part internal cast attached to the propodus and an internal mould, is weakly constricted at the articulation; the convex upper margin is flattened with sides inclined to a more or less straight outer surface and rounded inner surface. The occludent margin is weakly concave. Fine granules are coarser on the inner surface.

Genus Pagurus Fabricius, 1775
Type species: Cancer pagurus Linnaeus, 1758 (on official list ICZN) by subsequent designation of Latreille (1810).

Pagurus retznensis sp. nov.
(Pl. 2, figs. 3–5)
Diagnosis: Length a little more than distal height; manus quadrate, a median ridge on outer surface; upper margin weakly convex, ridged; basal margin in line with narrow fixed finger, which is as long as manus.
Material: Holotype, IC 650, a left propodus (outer surface) from Unit 3; paratypes, IC 651 inner surface of left propodus from unit 3; IC 652, a left propodus from an unknown unit.
Derivation of name: A corruption of Retznei + ensis.
Description: Left propodus; manus, length a little more than distal height, the weakly ridged upper margin tapers in a gentle curve proximally; a ridged basal margin is almost straight from a rounded carpal angle and in line with the fixed finger; the outer surface of the manus is weakly depressed above a median ridge and rounded below. About three fourths the length of the manus, the fixed finger is weakly concave bounding a ridged occludent margin; the interdigital margin recedes in a gentle curve from above the median ridge and curves into the fixed finger. Numerous granules, finer above the ridge, crowd the outer surface.
Right propodus inner surface; the basal margin is rather more curved than the left; a depression on the lower half of the manus extends into the fixed finger; more even-sized granules tends to form oblique rows proximally.
Discussion: The basic outline of the right propodus approximates that of Pagurus concavus Müller, 1979, from the Badenian of Hungary, but the median ridge on P. concavus is stronger and the basal half is weakly concave; the carpal margin is vertical and the occludent margin of the fixed finger is cuspat and forms an angle with the interdigital margin.
Figured as Pagurus aff. rakosensis Müller, 1979 (Müller, 1996, pl. 1, fig. 3) from the Badenian of Poland, the left propodus more closely approximates that of P. retznensis, the occludent and basal margins are more or less parallel, but the ‘step’ before the interdigital margin is concave rather than abrupt. No median ridge on the manus is developed on the figured left propodus of Pagurus rakosensis Müller, 1979 (Müller, 1984a. pl. 10, fig. 5).
Infraorder Brachyura Linnaeus, 1758
Superfamily Dromioidea De Haan, 1833
Family Dromiidae De Haan, 1833
Genus Dromia Weber, 1795
Type species: Cancer pesonatus Linnaeus, 1758 by subsequent designation ICZN, 1964 (ICZN Opinion 688).

Dromia evae sp. nov.
(Pl. 2, fig. 6)
Diagnosis: Carapace circular in outline, globose; cervical furrow deep, obtusely V-shaped and interrupted at midline, not produced laterally; branchiocardiac furrows transverse; mesogastric lobe not defined; cardiac region triangular with three obscure tubercles.
Material: Holotype, IC 653, a carapace from Unit 3.
Derivation of name: For Éva Müller for her devoted assistance.
to her husband, Pál, over the years and her guidance to JS HC in the present circumstances.

*Description*: Carapace almost circular in outline, strongly vaulted in both longitudinal and transverse sections. There are two or three minute nodes on the short anterolateral margins and two before short, indented, transverse branchiocardiaceus furrows, bounded by a vague ridge to the urogastric lobe. Fairly sharp posterior angles lead to a gently concave posterior margin which is about as wide as the orbital margin and bounded by a shallow groove. The poorly preserved oblique orbitofrontal region takes up rather more than two thirds (70%) of the carapace width; the front is narrow and weakly bilobed. The cervical furrow is deep and obtusely V-shaped across the midline; where it is interrupted, lateral parts are not developed. Corners of the rounded, tumid triangular cardiac region are thickened and there are three low granules set in an inverted triangle. Prefossil damage to the carapace gives a false impression of an elongated ‘branchiocardiac furrow’.

*Discussion*: Although apparently similar to the considerably larger *Dromilites neoigena* Müller, 1979 (vide Müller, 1984b, pl. 39, fig. 1), significant differences are apparent; *D. neoigenenica* has a tripartite front, only one spine between the cervical and branchiocardiaceus furrows and weak, straight lateral grooves separate the intestinal lobe from the cardiac region. The contemporary *Dromia eotovenesi* Müller, 1976 (vide Müller, 1984b, pl. 30, fig. 1), has a distinctly ovate carapace, the cervical and branchiocardiaceus furrows are well developed, and the regions are lobate. In both cases, conditions considered sufficiently distinct to cast doubt on the possibility of ontogenetic development.

**Genus Kerepesia** Müller, 1976  
*Type species*: *Ker epesia viai* Müller, 1976, by monotypy.  

**Ker epesia viai** Müller, 1976  
(Pl. 4, fig. 15)  
1976c. *Ker epesia* n. gen. *viai* n. sp. Müller, p. 150, 151, 155, pl. 3, figs. 1, 2, 3, 4.  
1979. *Ker epesia viai* Müller; Müller, p. 274.  
1984b. *Ker epesia viai* Müller; Müller, p. 64, pl. 31, figs. 5–7, pl. 32, fig. 4.  

*Material*: IC 690, posterior part of a juvenile carapace, from Unit 3.  

*Remarks*: A part cast/part decorticated carapace, about one fifth the size of the holotype, is obliquely fractured towards the left hand side, reaching the margin just above the second anterolateral ‘spine’; the appearance on the figure of a more transverse fracture is illusory. The distance between the second and third ‘spines’ is proportional to those figured by Müller (1984a, pl. 31, fig. 5). Confined to the median section, the cervical furrow crosses the midline at an angle of 150 degrees, coincident with that of the holotype.  

Hitherto, the species has been confined to the Badenian of Hungary where it has been found in, “layers formed in infralitoral environments not very close to surface. The bottom was sandy with abundant shells.” (Müller, 1984a, p. 65).

Family Dynomenidae Ortmann, 1892  
Subfamily Paradynomeninae Guinot, 2008  
**Genus Kromtitis** Müller, 1984b  
*Type species*: *Dromilites koberi* Bachmayer and Tollman, 1954, by monotypy.  

**Kromtitis koberi** (Bachmayer and Tollman, 1954)  
(Pl. 2, figs. 7, 8)  
1954. *Dromilites koberi* Bachmayer and Tollman, p. 312, 313, pl. 1, figs. 2, 2a.  
1984b. *Kromtitis koberi* (Bachmayer and Tollman, 1954); Müller, p. 64, pl. 31, figs. 1–4.  

*Material*: IC 654, IC 655 from Unit 6.  

*Addional material*: IC 723 carapaces from Unit three;  

*Remarks*: The type locality is Gross-Höflein, Austria; this readily identifiable species is also known from Visegrád, Hungary, and Southern Poland (Müller, 1984b). *Kromtitis* spp. range from the Eocene to the Miocene and are coral associates (Beschin et al., 2007, p. 27), as are extant species of the Paradynomeninae which, according to Guinot, (2008, p. 21), “clearly are modified relics.”

**Genus Calappa Weber, 1795**  
*Type species*: *Calappa granulatus* Linnaeus, 1758, by subsequent designation of Latreille (1810).  

**Calappa praelata** Lörenthény in Lörenthey and Beurlen, 1929  
(Pl. 2, fig. 9)  
1929. *Calappa praelata* Lörenthény in Lörenthey and Beurlen, p. 132–133, pl. 6, fig. 3.  
1984b. *Calappa praelata* Lörenthény in Lörenthey and Beurlen; Müller, p. 66, pl. 35, figs. 1, 2, 7, figs. 2–3, ?pl. 36 (see also for intermediated synonymy).  

*Material*: IC 656, a plaster cast of a carapace, unit unknown.  

*Remarks*: The carapace agrees well with that figured by Müller (1984b, pl. 35, fig. 2) with which it is of much the same size. Müller (1984b, p. 67) drew attention to the similarity of this species to *Calappa granulata* Linnaeus [*sic* = *Calappa granulatus*].
Plate 2

Figs. 1, 2. *Anapagurus miocenicus* Müller. NHM IC 649a and b. Unit unknown. 1. Internal cast of right propodus and, 2. counterpart preserving internal mould of cuticle.  Figs. 3-5. *Pagurus retznensis* sp. nov. 3. Holotype NHM IC 650. Unit 3. Outer surface of left propodus. 4. Paratype. NHM IC 651. Unit 3. Interna surface of propodus. 5. NHM IC 652. Paratype. Unit unknown. Left propodus.  Fig. 6. *Dromia evae* sp. nov. Holotype, NHM IC 653. Unit 3. Figs. 7, 8. *Kromtitis koberi* (Bachmayer and Tollman). 7. NHM IC 654. Unit 6. 8. NHM IC 655. Unit 6. A part cast/part decorticated carapace.  Fig. 9. *Calappa praelata* Lérenthey in Lérenthey and Beurlen. NHM IC 656. Unit unknown. A plaster cast.  Fig. 10. *Lobocarcinus* sp. aff. *Lobocarcinus sismonda* (von Meyer). Friebe Coll. Unit 3.  Fig. 11. *Carpilius antiquus* Glaessner. NHM IC 658. Unit 3. Carapace orientated anterior to left.  Fig. 12. *Daira speciosa* (Reuss). NHM IC 660. Unit 6. A fragmentary carapace.  Fig. 13. *Hyastenus* sp. NHM IC 661. Unit 6.  Fig. 14. Majidae gen. et sp. indet. NHM IC 662. Unit 6.  Fig. 15. *Glabropilumnus nitidus* sp. nov. Holotype, NHM IC 663. Unit 3.  Fig. 16. *Pilumnus* sp. aff. *Pilumnus mediterraneus* (Lérenthey). NHM IC 644. Unit unknown.
granulata Fabricius, 1793] from which it differs in having “six big [marginal] lobes instead of four”. The contemporary Calappa heberti Brocchi differs primarily in having more numerous, regular sized surface tubercles. The species is known elsewhere from Hungary and Koryknika, Poland.

Superfamily Cancroidea Latreille, 1803  
Family Cancridae Latreille, 1803  
Subfamily Lobocarcininae Beulens, 1930  
Genus Lobocarcinus Reuss, 1857

**Type species:** Lobocarcinus paulinowurtembergensis von Meyer, 1847, by monotypy.

**Lobocarcinus sp. aff. Lobocarcinus sismondai (von Meyer, 1843)**  
(Pl. 2, fig. 10)

**Material:** Friebe Coll. A poorly preserved carapace and counterpart from an unknown unit.

**Remarks:** The comparatively featureless dorsal surface both resembles and falls within the growth range of Lobocarcinus sismondai (von Meyer, 1843) as figured by Moisette and Müller (1990, pl. 1, fig. 1, pl. 2, figs. 1, 2), from the Messinian of Oran. Readily recognisable characters of the species are the tripartite marginal spines usually separated by grooves leading a short way onto the dorsal surface, both characters being effected by preservation. A few marginal spines and basal scars on the right anterolateral margin of the Retznei specimen suggest the development of similar tripartite spines. Müller’s figure (1984b, pl. 58, fig. 3) of Cancer illyiacus Bittner, 1883 (since synonymised with *L. sismondai*) appears to have been transversely compressed and, therefore, medially tumid; it retains a few basal scars of more or less similar appearance to those of *Lobocarcinus* sp. on the left side.

The extensive survey by Bonfliio and Donadeo (1982) of *L. sismondai*, based on carapaces from the Pliocene of Puglia, Italy, was illustrated primarily by line figures; these show a range of marginal spines varying from somewhat spike-like (fig. 7 therein), to generally moderate-sized spines, frequently multi-spinulose. The low transverse carapace profiles of *L. sismondai* figured (op cit. fig. 13) are also in keeping with IC 657. Müller (1984b, p. 76) drew attention to the rarity in the Paratethys of this, “wide-spread and frequent Mediterranean species known from the Miocene and Pliocene layers.” Cancer styriacus (Bittner, 1884) from the Badenian of Austria and Hungary, also has tripartite lateral spines, but they are separated by more conspicuous grooves and the dorsal surface is rather more lobate.

Superfamily Carpiloidea Ortmann, 1893  
Family Carpiliidae Ortmann, 1893  
Genus Carpilius Leach in Desmarest, 1823

**Type species:** Cancer maculatus Linnaeus, 1758, by monotypy.

**Carpilius antiquus Glaessner, 1928**  
(Pl. 2, fig. 11)

1928. *Carpilius antiquus* Glaessner, p. 191–193, text figs. 5, 6, pl. 3, fig. 13.

1984b. *Carpilius antiquus* Glaessner; Müller, p. 87, pl. 75, figs. 1–5.  
(See also for intermediate synonymy).

**Material:** IC 658, a carapace fragment.

**Additional material:** IC 725 limb fragment from Unit 3; IC 726, a limb fragment from Unit 6.

**Remarks:** The small carapace fragment is recognisable from the characteristic spine at the lateral angle. First known from Austria, the species was reported from several Badenian localities in Hungary and southern Poland, as well as the Langhian of Olédola, Spain (Müller, 1894b, 1993, 1996).

Superfamily Dairoidea Serène, 1965  
Family Dairidae, Serène, 1965  
Genus Daira De Haan, 1833

**Type species:** Cancer perlatus Herbst, 1790, by subsequent designation of ICZN. (ICZN Opinion 73, Direction 78).

**Daira speciosa (Reuss, 1871)**  
(Pl. 2, fig. 12)


1984b. *Daira speciosa* (Reuss, 1871); Müller, p. 90, pl. 79, figs. 1–6, pl. 80, figs. 1, 2. (See also for intermediate synonymy).

**Material:** IC 660, a carapace fragment from Unit 3.

**Additonal material:** IC 727–735, five fragments from Unit 3; IC 732–735, three carapace fragments, unit unknown.

**Remarks:** The coarse granular ornament of this relatively common species is unmistakable; the large size of the species being a contributary factor to the fragmentary preservation. A widespread species, it is also known from the Badenian of Hungary, Poland and near Ternopil, Ukraine, the Langhian of Olédola, Spain and it is also common in the Badenian of Russia and Messinian of Oran (Müller, 1984b, p. 90).

Superfamily Majoidea Samouelle, 1819  
Family Epialtidae MacLeay, 1838  
Subfamily Pisinae Dana, 1851  
Genus Hyastenus White, 1847

**Type species:** Cancer sebae White, 1847, by monotypy.

**Hyastenus sp.**  
(Pl. 2, fig. 13)

**Material:** IC 661, a carapace lacking rostral horns from Unit 6.

**Description:** The carapace is subtrangular in outline, a little longer than wide, moderately curved transversely, longitudinally highest about the mesogastric lobe. Details of the front not preserved. There is a low tubercle between straight, rounded ridges extending from the base of the (absent)
rostral horns. Lateral to these, a curved frontal margin, terminating in a sharp spine forming the eave of the first orbital spine, terminates in a notch. The upper orbital margin has two minute anterior spines and another at the posterior angle. Medially parallel lateral margins are excavated before rounded posterolateral margins. The posterior margin is mediadly produced and bounded by a groove.

The broad, deep cervical furrow is straight across the midline, where there is a pair of gastric pits against the anterior edge, it then runs straight towards the orbital margin. Margin, becoming indented before a narrow rounded posterior angle. Medially parallel lateral margins are excavated before rounded posterolateral margins. The posterior margin is mediadly produced and bounded by a groove.

The broad, deep cervical furrow is straight across the midline, where there is a pair of gastric pits against the anterior edge, it then runs straight towards the orbital margin. Margin, becoming indented before a narrow rounded posterior angle. Medially parallel lateral margins are excavated before rounded posterolateral margins. The posterior margin is mediadly produced and bounded by a groove.

The broad, deep cervical furrow is straight across the midline, where there is a pair of gastric pits against the anterior edge, it then runs straight towards the orbital margin. Margin, becoming indented before a narrow rounded posterior angle. Medially parallel lateral margins are excavated before rounded posterolateral margins. The posterior margin is mediadly produced and bounded by a groove.

The broad, deep cervical furrow is straight across the midline, where there is a pair of gastric pits against the anterior edge, it then runs straight towards the orbital margin. Margin, becoming indented before a narrow rounded posterior angle. Medially parallel lateral margins are excavated before rounded posterolateral margins. The posterior margin is mediadly produced and bounded by a groove.

The broad, deep cervical furrow is straight across the midline, where there is a pair of gastric pits against the anterior edge, it then runs straight towards the orbital margin. Margin, becoming indented before a narrow rounded posterior angle. Medially parallel lateral margins are excavated before rounded posterolateral margins. The posterior margin is mediadly produced and bounded by a groove.
3; IC 739–741, three carapaces, unit unknown.

Remarks: All the fragments are of juveniles ranging in carapace width from c. 0.4 mm – c. 10 mm; they conform to the smaller specimens figured by Müller (1984b). Even within this range there is a tendency for the lobes to become less distinct as growth advances. The larger carapace from Unit 3 (W c. 7.0 mm) agrees closely with specimens of similar size from Diosd, Hungary, differing little more than having a denser, more regular surface granulation. Only a little larger (W c. 8.0 mm), Pilumnus olivellai Müller, 1993, from the Langhian of Oléðola, Spain, has less developed lobes and a smooth dorsal surface.

Müller (1984b) considered this species to be, “probably the most common crab in the Badenian ...”, seemingly “in all types of biotypes studied.”, yet recorded it only from Hungarian exposures. In 1996 Müller extended the range to southern Poland and it has since been tentatively recorded (De Angeli, et al., 2011) from the early Messinian of Aquabona, Toscana, Italy.

Referring to Zaraquiey Alvarez (1968) and Müller, (1984b, 94), considered P. mediterraneus to be extremely close to the Recent Mediterranean P. hirtellus and Pilumnus spinifer H. Milne Edwards, 1834.

Superfamily Portunoidea Rafinesque, 1815
Family Portunidae Rafinesque, 1815
Subfamily Portuninae Rafinesque, 1815
Genus Portunus Weber, 1795
Type species: Cancer pelagicus Fabricius, 1798, by subsequent designation of Rathbun (1926).

Portunus muelleri sp. nov. 
(Pl. 3, figs. 1, 2)

Diagnosis: Carapace longer than wide; seven anterolateral spines arranged wide and narrow, with a stronger one at the lateral angle; orbital margin about two thirds total carapace width; cervical furrow obtusely V-shaped across the midline, turns sharply forward and, bounded by an epi/mesobranchial ridge curves to the margin; protogastric and median lobes tumid.

Derivation of name: In recognition of Pál Müller, Budapest, for his outstanding contribution to our knowledge of Miocene coral/reefal crabs.

Material: Holotype IC 665, a carapace and paratype, IC 666, unit unknown.

Description: The carapace is subhexagonal in outline, about one third longer than wide; almost flat longitudinally, the median lobes are tumid in transverse section. The produced front takes up about half the straight, poorly preserved orbitofrontal margin which occupies about two thirds of the total carapace width. Thin, raised upper orbital margins have two, obscure, infilled notches and terminate in a sharp spine before seven equally sharp spines, alternating wide and narrow, on the anterolateral margins, there is a sharp spine behind the cervical furrow. Straight posterolateral margins converge to sharp posterolateral angles and a shallow embayment for the 5th limbs descends to a weakly rimmed, convex posterior margin, about as wide as the front. Broadly V-shaped behind the mesogastric lobe and a little more than half distant from the front, the cervical furrow turns sharply forward at the mesogastric angles before curving out towards the margin; the outer course bounded by narrow, tumid confluent epi- and mesobranchial lobes. A narrow depressed area extends behind the front; tumid protogastric lobes are subtriangular and the hepatic lobes are depressed. There is an inconspicuous node at the base of the anteromesogastric lobe. A narrow subrectangular lobe is depressed between a subtriangular mesogastric lobe and the rounded-triangular cardiac region, is as wide as the mesogastric lobe. The metabranhial lobes are weakly tumid basi-medially. The dorsal surface is smooth.

Discussion: This species closely resembles several Recent species formerly contained in the now synonymised genus Achelous, and with the arrangement of the anterolateral spines, particularly to Portunus angustus Rathbun, 1898, There can be no confusion with this species with Portunus montpelienis, the only other species known from the Badenian, Austria, or Portunus neogenicus Müller, 1979a, from Budapest, Hungary. Any ontological relationship can be ruled out.

Subfamily Polybiinae Ortmann, 1893
Genus Liocarcinus Stimpson, 1871
Type species: Portunus holatus Fabricius, 1798, by original designation.

Liocarcinus sp. aff. Liocarcinus rakosensis 
Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen, 1929 
(Pl. 3, figs. 3, 5)

1929. Liocarcinus rakosensis Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen, p. 172–173, pl. 12, fig. 1.
1984a. Liocarcinus rakosensis Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen; Müller, p. 83, pl. 69, figs. 2–6, pl. 70, figs. 1–8. [See also for intermediate synonymy].

Material: IC 691, a plaster cast of a carapace, unit unknown; IC 667, an attributed right propodus, Unit 3.

Remarks: While closely resembling the figured specimens (Müller, 1984a, pl. 69, figs. 2–6) the cast has a more pronounced protogastric ridge and a much reduced urogastric lobe. Further specimens are needed before finite conclusions can be drawn.

A juvenile right propodus, in the present collection (Pl. 3, fig. 3), although only about one third the size, agrees well with the specimen figured by Müller (1984b, pl. 70, fig. 1) and may safely be attributed to the cast-carapace form.
Plate 3

Subfamily Thalamitinae Paul’son, 1875
Genus Charybdis De Haan, 1833

Type species: Cancer sexdentatus Herbst, 1783, by subsequent designation of Glaessner (1929). [ICZN Opinion 121].

**Charybdis fragilis** (Müller, 1979)

(Pl. 3, figs. 4, 6, 7, 8, 10)


1984b. *Thalamita fragilis* Müller, Müller, p. 81, pl. 65, figs. 1, 2, 4, 5, ?pl. 65, fig. 3, pl. 66, figs. 1–7. [See also for intermediate synonomy.]


**Material:** A carapace and left chela, unit unknown, Freibe Coll.: IC 668, a carapace fragment, Unit 6: IC 669, internal mould of left propodus from Unit 6; carapace fragment from Unit 6: IC 659, fragment of ?right branchiostegite.

**Remarks:** The carapace agrees in all respects with specimens figured by Müller (1984b, pl. 65, figs. 1–5). As preserved, the lateral spines of the present material show no indication of the bifurcated, or intercalated spines seen in the essentially similar *Charybdis mathiasi* Müller 1879 (vide 1984b, pl. 63, figs. 1–4). No left chela was figured by Müller (1984b), That figured herein (Pl. 3, fig. 10), an internal cast, agrees with that of *C. mathiasi* Müller (1984b, pl. 64, figs. 1–5), whereas a proportionally lighter left chela (Pl. 3, fig. 8), preserved as a mould, corresponding with Müller’s figure (op cit., pl. 66, figs. 1, 2) of a right chela of *C. fragilis*, may indicate sexual dimorphism. IC 653 (Pl. 3, fig. 10) is a presumed left branchiostegite with, apically, a trace of the grooved and rimmed pleural suture.

Superfamily Trapezioidea Miers, 1886
Family Trapeziidae Miers, 1886
Subfamily Trapezininae Miers, 1886
Genus Eomaldivia Müller and Collins, 1991

**Type species**: *Eomaldivia pannonica* Müller and Collins, 1991, by original designation.

**Eomaldivia? friebei** sp. nov.

(Pl. 3, figs. 9, 11)

**Diagnosis:** Carapace with smoothly rounded front bounded by a thin groove extending round orbits; inner orbital spine sharp.

**Derivation of name:** The species is named for Dr. J. G. Friebe, Dornbirn, Austria, Müller’s companion in the field and erstwhile co-author, who also contributed largely to the stratigraphic part of this work.

**Material:** Holotype IC 670, a frontal fragment from Unit 3; paratype IC 671, a frontal fragment of carapace, unit unknown.

**Description:** A smoothly rounded front is bounded by a thin ridge continuing round the orbital margin, more obvious on IC 670, and sharp inner orbital angles almost vertically inclined into weakly oblique, ovate orbital margins.

**Remarks:** The front of *Trapezia glaessneri* Müller, 1976 (vide Müller 1984a, pl. 85, figs. 1–4) from the Hungarian Badenian, differs in being “undulate [with] three blunt nodes on each side” (Müller, 1984a, p. 92)—the same number as on *Trapezia* sp. in Karasawa (1993, p. 66), from the Middle Miocene, Megami Limestone, Japan. The smoothly rounded front appears to have a greater affinity to that of *Eomaldivia* Müller and Collins, 1991, founded on two species from the Priabonian, Szépvölgy Limestone of Hungary. Of these, *Eomaldivia trispinosa* Müller and Collins, 1991, has almost coincident inner orbital angles and orbital margins. Both species of *Eomaldivia* are distinguished by spinose anterolateral margins. Lack of evidence of these in the present material precludes full specific status. Both the stratigraphic and geographic range of the genus is tentatively extended.

Müller (2006, p. 44), described a new species of gracipsoid, *Metopograpsus badensis*, from the Badenian of Óröz Vezér tere, Budapest, illustrated by two figures (op. cit., pl. 2, figs. 5, 76); of these, the tentatively assigned fig. 76, a left anterior quadrant of a carapace agrees in all respects with *Trapezia glaessneri*. Therefore, the specimen figured as 76, is here transferred to *Trapezia glaessneri*.

Superfamily Xanthoidea MacLeay, 1838
Family Panopeidae Ortmann, 1893
Subfamily Panopiinae Ortmann, 1893
Genus Panopeus H. Milne Edwards, 1834

**Type species**: *Panopeus herbstii* H. Milne Edwards, 1834, by subsequent designation of ICZN [Opinion 1282].

**Panopeus wroni** Müller, 1984b

(Pl. 3, figs. 12, 13, 15, 16)

1984b. *Panopeus wroni* Müller, p. 91, pl. 81, figs. 5, 6, pl. 82, figs. 1–4, pl. 84, figs. 1–4.

**Material:** IC 672, IC 673 two carapaces from Unit 6; IC 674, IC 675, two carapaces, unit unknown.

**Additional material:** IC 742–746, five carapace fragments from Unit 3.

**Remarks:** The specimens fall readily into the degree of variation of those figured by Müller, 1984b, from Gross-Höflein, the type locality. There appears to be a degree of acceptable surface variation amongst the figured species, which range between approximately 0.8 mm and 28.0 mm in carapace width, no doubt accounted for by ontogeny and preservation. The species is also known from several localities in Hungary, southern Poland and near Ternopil, Ukraine.

Family Xanthidae MacLeay, 1838
Subfamily Chlorodiellinae Ng and Holtuis, 2007
Genus Chlorodiella Rathbun, 1897
Type species: Chlorodiella niger (Forskål, 1775) by subsequent designation of ICZN (pending).

**Chlorodiella juglans** Müller, 1984b  
(Pl. 3, fig. 14)
1984b. **Chlorodiella juglans** Müller, p. 89, pl. 78, figs. 1–4.

**Material**: IC 676, a carapace, unit unknown.

**Remarks**: The suboctagonal carapace with four blunt spines on the anterolateal margins, large orbits and wide orbitofrontal margin, together with high, rounded epigastric, protogastric and hepatic ridges, distinguishes *C. juglans* from other Badenian chlorodiellids. The species has also been recorded from near Ternopil, Ukraine (Ossó and Stallenny, 2012).

**Chlorodiella loczyi** Müller, 1984b  
(Pl. 3, fig. 18; Pl. 4, figs. 1, 2)
1984b. **Chlorodiella loczyi** Müller, p. 89, pl. 78, figs. 1–4.

**Material**: IC 677a, b, a carapace; IC 678 both from Unit 6.

**Additional material**: IC 747–750, four carapace fragments from Unit 6.

**Remarks**: The carapaces are rather more ovoid than the previous species, further differentiated by lines of pits across the frontal region. More sharply preserved than the figured type specimens, the internal cast of the dorsal surface of the smaller Retznei specimens is finely granulate. This is the first record outside the type locality, Rákos, Budapest, for this species.

NB. Carapace fragments in Pl. 3, fig. 18 and Pl. 4, fig. 1, belong to the same individual; the former fragment overlays *Panopeus wroni*, Pl. 3, fig. 13.

**Chlorodiella mediterranea**  
(Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen, 1929)  
(Pl. 4, figs. 3, 4)
1929. *Zozymus mediterraneus* Lörenthey in Lörenthey and Beurlen, p. 34, 251, 216, pl. 11, fig. 9.

1984b. **Chlorodiella mediterranea** (Lörenthey, in Lörenthey and Beurlen); Müller, 88, pl. 76, figs. 1–6, pl. 77, figs. 1–4. (see also for intermediate synonymy).

1996. **Chlorodiella mediterranea** (Lörenthey, in Lörenthey and Beurlen); Müller, p. 6.

**Material**: IC 679–680, two fragmentary carapaces from Unit 6.

**Additional material**: IC 751–753, three carapaces, unit unknown.

**Remarks**: The elliptical carapace outline and generally weakly defined regions serve to distinguish this common species from other Badenian members of the genus. It has previously been recorded from Hungary, Gross Höflein, Austria, the Holy Cross Mountains, Poland, and Olédola, Spain (Müller, 1996).

**Chlorodiella tetenyensis** Müller, 1984b  
(Pl. 4, figs. 5, 6)
1984b. **Chlorodiella mediterranea tetenyensis** Müller, p. 88, pl. 77, figs. 5–7.


**Material**: IC 681, 682, two fragmentary carapaces from Unit 3.

**Additional material**: IC 754–756, three fragmentary carapaces from an unknown unit.

**Remarks**: Müller’s notes clearly indicated that this species be raised to specific status. A stronger ornament, derived largely from stronger ridges on the epigastric, hepatic and epibranchial lobes, distinguishes this species from *C. mediterranea* Müller (1984b, p. 88) stated that while the two species are found together, transitional forms are rare and clearly separable. Originally described from Rákos, Budapest, the (sub)species was recorded, as *Chlorodiella cf. mediterranea* (Lörenthey) *tetenyensis*, from the Langhian of Olérdola, Spain (Müller, 1993).

**Genus Pilodius** Dana, 1852

**Type species**: *Chlorodius pilumnoides* White, 1847, by subsequent designation of Forest and Guinot (1961).

**Pilodius vulgaris** (Glaessner, 1928)  
(Pl. 4, figs. 7, 8)
1984b. *Pilodius vulgaris* (Glaessner, 1928); Müller, p. 91, pl. 83, figs. 5, 6, pl. 84, figs. 1–4.

1993 *Pilodius vulgaris* (Glaessner, 1928); Müller, p. 20, fig. 10E. (see also for previous taxonomy).

**Material**: IC 683, a juvenile carapace from Unit 3, IC 684, a carapace from Unit 6.

**Additional material**: IC 757–759, three carapaces from Unit 6.

**Remarks**: The involved taxonomic listing of this species is explained by Müller (1974). The present carapaces agree with those figured by Müller (1984b) from Hungary and from the Langhian of Olérdola, Spain. The hepatic lobes of the smallest carapace (width 0.3 mm, IC XXX) are only vaguely divided. The prominent nodes on the cardiac region are a particularly noticeable character, already well advanced in a juvenile (W c. 1.7 mm) and readily distinguish the species from *Actaea turcocompetris* Müller (1984b).

Subfamily Xanthinae MacLeay, 1838

**Genus Xantho** Leach, 1814

**Type species**: *Cancer incisus* Leach, 1814, by monotypy. (ICZNOpinion 423).

**Xantho moldavicus** (Yanakevich, 1977)  
(Pl. 4, figs. 9, 10, 12)

1984b. *Xantho moldavicus* Yanakevich; Müller, p. 92, pl.85, figs. 5–8, pl. 86, figs. 1–5, pl. 87, fig. 1.

1991. *Xanrhotho moldavicus* Yanakevich; Müller, p. 20, fig. 10A, B.

1996. *Xantho moldavicus* Yanakevich; Müller, p. 11, pl. 2, fig. 9.

2011. *Xantho moldavicus* Yanakevich; De Angeli et al., p. 115, fig. 7.

**Material**: IC 685, carapace from Unit 3; IC 686, a carapace
from Unit 6; IC 687, a carapace, unit unknown.

**Additional material**: IC 760–763, four carapaces from an unknown unit.

**Remarks**: In his remarks on previously recorded specimens, Müller (1984b, p. 93) was of the opinion that the species was subject to variants resulting from intermediate forms. Later, (1993, p. 20), he stated that some differences may be individual, but “may be of some taxonomic significance as well”, and (in 1996, p. 11), he observed that among the rich assemblage from Poland “there was a continuous transition between the almost smooth and the decorticated carapaces. This decortication consists of step-like ridges crowded near the frontal and the anterolateral margins, but may be quite obsolete in some specimens.” Although largely fragmentary, it is evident that similar forms occur in the present material. The species has been recorded from the Badenian of Ukraine, and tentatively recorded from the Langhian of Olédola (Müller, 1996) and the early Messinian of Toscana, Italy (De Angeli, et al. 2011).

Subfamily unplaced

Genus *Haydnella* Müller, 1984b

*Type species*: *Haydnella steiningeri* Müller, 1984b, by monotypy.

**Haydnella steiningeri** Müller, 1984b

(Pl. 4, figs. 13, 14)

**Material**: IC 688, a carapace from unit 6, IC 689, a carapace, unit unknown.

**Additional material**: IC 764–767, four carapaces from Unit 6.

**Remarks**: Already well known from Hungarian and other Austrian exposures, the distribution elsewhere includes Grobie, southern Poland. The only well preserved Retznei specimen comes from an unknown unit; although less profusely granulated it agrees well with figured carapaces in Müller (1984b); the comparatively wide cardiac region is a useful diagnostic character. Apart from marginally extending the geographic range of the species, the new material does little to extend the ecological conclusions reached by Müller (1984b). It has recently been tentatively recorded from the Messinian of Aquabona, Toscana, Italy (De Angeli et al., 2011).
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Crabs from the Badenian of Retznei, Austria, with distribution of firm and/or tentative recordings, and occurrences elsewhere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1.</th>
<th>Retznei</th>
<th>Hungary</th>
<th>Poland</th>
<th>Austria – other localities</th>
<th>Other localities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>3 6 ?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Callianassa” aff. “Callianassa” sismondae A. Milne-Edwards, 1860</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Callianassa” almerai Müller, 1993</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galathea. weinfiurerteri Backmeyer, 1950</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrolisthes haydni Müller, 1984b</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisidia viai Müller, 1984</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisidida? subnodosa sp. nov.</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dardarnus hungaricus Lörenthey, 1929</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anapagurus miocenicus Müller, 1977</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagurus retznensis sp. nov.</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dromia evae sp. nov.</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerepesia viai Müller, 1976</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kromtitis koberi (Bachmayer &amp; Tollman, 1954)</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calappa praelata Lörenthey 1929</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobocarcinus sp. aff. L. sismonda (von Meyer, 1843)</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpilus antiquus Glaessner, 1928</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daira speciosa (Reuss, 1871)</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
<td>+ + + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyastenus sp.</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majidae gen. et sp. indet.</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glaebropilumnus nitidus sp. nov.</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilumnus aff mediterraneus (Lörenthey, 1877)</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portunus muelleri sp. nov.</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liocarcinus aff rakosensis Lörenthey, 1929</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charybdis fragilis (Müller, 1984b)</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eomaldivia friebei sp. nov.</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panopeus wroni Müller, 1984b</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorodiella juglans Müller 1984b</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorodiella loczy Müller, 1984b</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorodiella mediterranea (Lörenthey, 1929)</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorodiella tetenyensis Müller, 1984b</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilidius vulgaris (Glaessner, 1928)</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthon moldavicus (Yanakevich, 1977)</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haydnella steiningeri Müller, 1984b</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthon moldavicus (Yanakevich, 1977)</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Messinian, Italy; G Messinian Greece; N Messinian, Oran; O Langhian, Spain;
P Messinian, Spain; R Badenian, Russia; I Oligocene, Italy; U Badenian, Ukraine
Plate 4
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