An ill wind: a gale, beachcombing and *Gastrochaenolites* Leymerie in peat, North Sea coast, the Netherlands
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Clasts of Quaternary (presumably Pleistocene) peat were washed ashore on the North Sea coast of the Netherlands in late December 2013. Some preserved Recent borings identified as *Gastrochaenolites* isp. cf. *G. turbinatus* Kelly and Bromley. Most clasts were bored from one direction only (=upper surface), suggesting that they are ripped up fragments of a bed(s); one cobble with borings on both sides must have been resident on the sea floor as a rolling fragment. Borings are all incomplete, further suggesting that boring occurred when the clasts formed part of a thicker sedimentary succession. One specimen preserves two *in situ* shells of the boring bivalve *Petricola pholadiformis* Lamarck, the trace maker of *G.* isp. cf. *G. turbinatus* in this environment.
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**Abstract**

Clasts of Quaternary (presumably Pleistocene) peat were washed ashore on the North Sea coast of the Netherlands in late December 2013. Some preserved Recent borings identified as *Gastrochaenolites* isp. cf. *G. turbinatus* Kelly and Bromley. Most clasts were bored from one direction only (=upper surface), suggesting that they are ripped up fragments of a bed(s); one cobble with borings on both sides must have been resident on the sea floor as a rolling fragment. Borings are all incomplete, further suggesting that boring occurred when the clasts formed part of a thicker sedimentary succession. One specimen preserves two *in situ* shells of the boring bivalve *Petricola pholadiformis* Lamarck, the trace maker of *G.* isp. cf. *G. turbinatus* in this environment.
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**Introduction**

Exotic beach clasts may be accumulated by various processes, including extremes of weather, fluvial action and gravity (for example, contrast Donovan, 2010 with Donovan *et al.*, 2014). On Christmas Eve 2012, the author went beachcombing on the North Sea Coast of Noord Holland, the Netherlands. Amongst the specimens collected that day was a Quaternary pebble of peat preserving a Recent boring, *Gastrochaenolites* isp. cf. *G. lapidicus* Kelly and Bromley, 1984, with a distinctive bioglyph. Donovan (2013a) was able to identify the probable producer, certainly a boring bivalve and either *Barnea candida* (Linné), the white piddock; or *Petricola pholadiformis* Lamarck, the so-called American piddock (Beecham, 1972; Tebble, 1976). It is rare for fragments of submerged Quaternary peats and mudrocks derived from offshore to form part of this assemblage, except after a major storm, when rolled cobbles and pebbles are carried onshore. It is these clasts that form the subject of the present study.

**Locality**

(Abridged from Donovan, 2013a, p. 109.) The nine specimens described herein, RGM 791 619–791 627, came from the Zandvoort–Bloemendaal aan Zee–Parnassia Strand area, Noord Holland (Fig. 1; 1:25,000 topographic map Haarlem 25A). The strandline of this beach is commonly littered with myriad shells and valves of infaunal and epifaunal invertebrates (Donovan, 2007). Rare components of this death assemblage are boring bivalves, namely *Zirfaea crispata* (Linné), the oval piddock; *Barnea candida* (Linné), the white piddock; and *Petricola pholadiformis* Lamarck, the so-called American piddock (Beecham, 1972; Tebble, 1976). It is rare for fragments of submerged Quaternary peats and mudrocks derived from offshore to form part of this assemblage, except after a major storm, when rolled cobbles and pebbles are carried onshore. It is these clasts that form the subject of the present study.

**Description**

Nine slab-like cobbles of peat, RGM 791 619–791 627, were collected from amongst many more because each preserves one or more incomplete borings. The peat contains obvious plant debris. Clasts are flattened, presumably a relic of original bedding; they are irregular in outline, but are commonly more or less rounded to elliptical. Shell fragments embedded in the peat look fresh and may be Recent...
inclusions. RGM 791 625 preserves an incomplete balanid barnacle attached close to the apertural end in the neck of a moderately broad boring (Fig. 2E).

Borings are circular in section and commonly perpendicular to sub- perpendicular to bedding, occurring singly or in clusters. Borings are typically conical and invariably incomplete. The sides of boreholes expose fine bedding structures on a mm scale, but no bioglyphs are developed (contrast with Donovan, 2013a). Borings that are non-penetrative have an unsculptured, hemispherical base to the chamber. Most borings are conical in one direction only, probably indicating that they were bored in situ in the peat bed, but RGM 791 623 (Fig. 2F) has been bored on both sides. RGM 791 626 is particularly woody, and its borings both interere and show a broad range of orientations.

Some borings retain the valves of articulated bivalve shells. Most are interpreted as boring bivalves (e.g. RGM 791 622) rather than nestlers or chance inclusions. Most of these in situ bivalves could not be removed for identification, but the shells are invariably long and slender. RGM 791 627 is the largest clast (about 165 x 125 x 40 mm), with two, large, in situ bivalves, one of which was removed (and replaced) after photography (Fig. 1A–D) and identified as Petricola pholadiformis Lamarck.

Discussion

This collection of reworked and bored clasts is notable for several reasons. The Quaternary peat is not exposed onshore and, without recourse to a boat, can only be sampled after clasts have been carried onshore by a storm. The clasts are invariably rounded, presumably due to a long residence time on the seafloor with associated tumbling by waves; some evidence of this is provided by RGM 791 623, the peat cobble bored from both sides, which must have been a rolling clast which was ‘flipped over’ more than once. Or possibly not, as peat is a particularly soft rock and one storm event may be all that is necessary to rip up and round such clasts. These peats are terrestrial in origin, but the borings are the spoor of marine bivalves. This disparity is an indicator of history. The peat was deposited at a time of lower sea level during the Quaternary (probably Pleistocene), but were subsequently drowned and more recently bored on the sea floor. The borings were mainly made in the in situ bedded peat, crossing two or more beds, which is why specimens preserve only incomplete sections of the neck or chamber in these fragments from single beds (sensu Kelly and Bromley, 1984, fig. 1). That these trace fossils are Gastrochaenolites is no surprise as it is a common boring in reworked clasts (see, for example, Donovan, 2011a, b, 2013a, b; Donovan and Pawson, 2013).

The sample is biased towards those with borings and selected by the author; others left on the beach showed few or no invasive structures. Although the peat clasts discussed herein are mainly perforated by borings, they nevertheless showed sufficient lithologic integrity to avoid complete disintegration. But all the borings are incomplete; Donovan and Isted (2014) noted that this is a common feature of both modern and ancient elevate borings in beach clasts. In the present study, Gastrochaenolites are preserved no longer than the thickness of beds in the peat; bedding determines the thickness of these flattened clasts.

Determination of Gastrochaenolites is difficult from such incomplete specimens. Although size is a weak ichnotaxobase (Pickerill, 1994), these borings are commonly too big to be anything else but Gastrochaenolites (Fig. 2). As noted above, bored peat clasts commonly preserve just part of the borehole(s) in transverse section, part of the neck, chamber, base or a combination of these, which is not diagnostic to ichnospecies and is analogous to many bored Chalk clasts on the Norfolk coast of eastern England (Donovan, 2011a, fig. 1; 2011b, fig. 2C). Only by building up a composite description based on all specimens could a tentative determination be made which agrees well with the diagnosis of G. turbinatus by Kelly and Bromley (1984, p. 803); “Smooth Gastrochaenolites, acutely conical, having evenly tapered body and neck, the widest point close to the short rounded base; rounded cross-section throughout length.”

The most notable feature of these clasts is the rare preservation of in situ, articulated boring bivalves (Fig. 2A–D). One bivalve is almost exposed (Fig. 2D), and soon would have been lost if corrosion and abrasion had been allowed to continue. Both in situ shells occur in the same cobble and both have the same orientation, contradicting any speculation that they may be fortuitous inclusions positioned.

Fig. 1. Outline map of the south coast of Noord-Holland, the Netherlands, with the coastline stippled and some important settlements marked (after Donovan, 2013a, fig. 1). The arrow pointing towards Zandvoort indicates the southernmost part of the coastline which yielded the specimens of boring bivalves and Pleistocene peat discussed herein. Key: NZK = Noordzee-kanaal. The inset map of The Netherlands shows political boundaries and the coastline stippled. Compare the position of Haarlem (H) with the main map. Key: Bm, Belgium; Gy, Germany; A, Amsterdam; D, Den Haag (The Hague); M, Maastricht; R, Rotterdam; U, Utrecht.
by physical process. They are identified as *Petricola pholadiformis* Lamarck, a boring veneracean that is convergent in form to a pholad. Shells and valves of boring bivalves are rare on the beach at Zandvoort compared with the many hundreds of burrowers, but the commonest is *P. pholadiformis* (Donovan, 2013a, table 1). This was confirmed when the peat clasts were collected; *P. pholadiformis* (three articulated shells,
six right valves) outnumbered the only other borer encountered, *Zirfaea crispata* (Linne) (two partial left valves).

RGM 791 623, the clast bored on both sides, was presumably repeatedly infested as a rolling clast. Small borings in a cluster on one side of this cobble (Fig. 2F) suggest that this was the last spat-fall and the producers were only early juveniles.

*Note*: In late January 2014, after this paper was submitted for review, further beachcombing revealed peat clasts with broader *Gastrochaenolites* borings, in which “The main chamber bears a sculpture of prominent, parallel circular bioglyphs that are separated by numerous fine, short and oblique striations” (Donovan, 2011a, p. 187). These are *Gastrochaenolites ornatus* Kelly and Bromley, 1984, and are the spoor of *Zirfaea crispata* (Linne). These specimens will be deposited in the RGM.
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